Research Article



Facile Carbonyl Sulfide (COS) Fixation into Novel COS-Storage Materials Towards Preparation of CdS Photocatalyst for CO₂ Reduction and Promotion of Plant Growth

Bin Li^{1,2}, Tingyu Yang^{2,3}, Xiaoqing Yue^{2,4}, Zhaojun Wu², Jianbin Zhang^{1,2*}

¹Hebei Provincial Key Lab of Green Chemical Technology and High Efficient Energy Saving, School of Chemical Engineering and Technology, Hebei University of Technology, Tianjin, China

²Inner Mongolia Engineering Research Center for CO₂ Capture and Utilization, College of Chemical Engineering, Inner Mongolia University of Technology, Hohhot, China

³College of Life and Environmental Science, Minzu University of China, Beijing, China

⁴Materials Science and Engineering, Harbin Institute of Technology, Heilongjiang, China

E-mail: tadzhang@pku.edu.cn

Received: 11 November 2021; Revised: 11 January 2022; Accepted: 17 January 2022

Abstract: An effective approach to the COS capture and fixation using the alcohol-amine binary systems contained Ethylene Glycol-based polyols (EGs) and Ethylenediamine-based polyamines (EDAs) under ambient conditions is developed. Fourier-Transform Infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) and X-Ray powder Diffraction (XRD) analyses reveal that COS is converted into thio-alkylcarbonate salts with the special chemical structures of [$^{+}H_{3}NR_{1}NH_{3}^{+-}OC(=S)OR_{2}(R_{3})O(S=)CO^{-}]_{n}$, which are named as a COS-Storage Material (COSSM). Notably, the COSSM is successfully applied to the synthesis of CdS Nano-Particles (NPs) for the photocatalytic conversion of CO₂ to CO and CH₄, and used as a nitro-sulfur compound fertilizer to promote plant growth. Our work has provided a readily available strategy for the green storage and sustainable utilization of COS.

Keywords: carbonyl sulfide, COS-storage materials, CdS nano-particles, nitro-sulfur compound fertilizer, photocatalysis

Graphical abstract



Copyright ©2022 Jianbin Zhang, et al. DOI: https://doi.org/10.37256/sce.3120221240 This is an open-access article distributed under a CC BY license (Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License) https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Abbreviation

COS: carbonyl sulfide EGs: ethylene glycol-based polyols EDAs: ethylenediamine-based polyamines COSSMs: COS-storage materials EG: ethylene glycol DEG: diethylene glycol TEG: triethylene glycol TeEG: tetraethylene glycol PEG 300: polyethylene glycol 300 PEG 400: polyethylene glycol 400 DPG: dipropylene glycol PPD: 1,2-propanediol BDO: 1,3-butanediol EDA: ethylenediamine PDA: 1,3-propanediamine HDA: 1,6-hexanediamine $Cd(NO_3)_2$: cadmium nitrate NPs: nano-particles KBr: potassium bromide FTIR: Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy XPS: X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy XRD: X-ray diffraction SEM: scanning electron microscope CH₂Cl₂: dichloromethane DMSO: dimethyl sulfoxide TEOA: triethanolamine CO: carbon monoxide CH₄: methane

1. Introduction

COS, an organic sulfur compound, is the most abundant trace gas in the atmosphere with an average tropospheric lifetime of 2-6 years.^{1,2} It plays a vital role in global sulfur circulation.³ At present, COS is widely found in natural gas, petroleum gas, water gas, and industrial waste gas due to human activities.^{4,5} In industrial productions, COS can be combusted into acidic SO₂ that greatly reduces the lifetimes of catalysts and apparatuses.^{6,7} Therefore, the removal of COS from various gases is an important operation for industrial production and environmental protection.^{8,9}

Many techniques have been developed for COS removals, such as hydrogenation, hydrolysis, organic amine solvent absorption, and oxidation.^{10,11} Among them, organic amine scrubbing displays remarkable advantages of rapid removal rates and low costs. However, the highly volatile amines can cause secondary pollution of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs). Inspired by the amines fixing ability of Ethylene Glycol (EG) via forming hydrogen bonding,¹² we attempted to reduce the volatility of polyamines using polyols for better COS capture performances. During the study of COS capture with EGs and EDAs, we unexpectedly found that the binary systems of EG and EDA could effectively and rapidly capture and store COS in the form of white solid powder under ambient conditions. Further investigation revealed that COS could react with EGs and EDAs to produce COSSMs. Therefore, expanding the scope of the alcohol-amine system for COS fixation can significantly promote the study of COS removal.

Some semiconductors, such as CdS NPs, have demonstrated excellent photocatalytic activities and selectivity for CO_2 reduction under visible light irradiation.^{13,14} CdS NPs are often synthesized in the presence of additives at high

temperatures with costly pure substances.^{15,16} Therefore, in the present work, we attempt to develop a simple and low-cost synthesis method of CdS NPs using COSSMs as both sulfur source and facilitative surfactants for the first time.

Sulfur (S) is a key element for plant growth, especially for the synthesis of essential amino acids, such as methionine, cystine, and cysteine.¹⁷ It is mainly in the forms of sulfur and sulfate in soil,^{18,19} and the lack of sulfur may result in serious reductions in the yield and quality of crops.^{20,21} The studies, developments, and applications of sulfur fertilizers for sustainable agricultural development are of great significance and indispensability. Herein, the application potentials of the sulfur-containing COSSMs as a sulfur fertilizer were also explored for promoting plant growth. On this basis, COSSMs were used as an indirect S source to promote the growth of cucurbitaceous and solanaceous crops. Among them, cucumber was a cucurbitaceous plant; pepper, eggplant, and tomato were solanaceous plants.

In the present work, various EGs + EDAs systems were prepared and examined for COS capture under ambient conditions. The COSSMs formed with these systems were characterized by FTIR, XPS, and XRD as thio-alkylcarbonate salts. CdS NPs photocatalysts were successfully prepared with these thio-alkylcarbonate salts by hydrothermal reaction, and their photocatalytic activities were evaluated for the conversion of CO_2 to CO and CH_4 . The COSSMs were also found an excellent nitro-sulfur fertilizer for promoting four plants' growth because of their good water solubility.

2. Experimental 2.1 Synthesis of COSSMs

EGs including EG, Di-Ethylene Glycol (DEG), Tri-Ethylene Glycol (TEG), Tetra-Ethylene Glycol (TeEG), Poly-Ethylene Glycol 300 (PEG300), Poly-Ethylene Glycol 400 (PEG400), Dipropylene Glycol (DPG), 1,2-Propanediol (PPD) and 1,3-Butanediol (BDO), and EDAs including ethylenediamine (EDA), 1,3-Propanediamine (PDA) and 1.6-Hexanediamine (HDA) were respectively subjected to dehydration and decontamination through 4 Å molecular sieves and degassed by ultrasonication before use. Our previous work suggests that the interactions among the molecules in solution are strongest at the 1:1 molar ratio of EDAs to EGs.^{22,23} Therefore, 40 g of EGs + EDAs solution with the molar ratio of 1:1 and 1.5 g of water were firstly added into a gas absorption bottle. COS gas was introduced into the solution at the flow rate of 10 mL/min for ~3 h when floccus appeared. The floccus was collected, washed with absolute ethanol three times or more, and vacuum dried at 35 °C for 2 h to afford COSSMs as a white powder. Figure 1 presents the preparation process of COSSMs.



Figure 1. Preparation process of COSSMs

2.2 Preparation of CdS NPs

CdS NPs with cubic phase and both cubic and hexagonal phases were prepared by the hydrothermal reactions of 50 mL of 0.05 mol/L Cd(NO₃)₂ solutions and 0.1 g and 1 g EG-based COSSMs, respectively, at 180 °C for 5 h. The CdS NPs with hexagonal phase were prepared by the hydrothermal reaction of 50 mL of 0.05 mol/L Cd(NO₃)₂ solution with 1 g EG-COSSM in a 100 mL Teflon-line stainless steel reactor at 60 °C for 5 h. The as-prepared CdS precipitates were respectively centrifuged, washed with water and ethanol more than 3 times, and vacuum dried at 60 °C for 6 h.

The application of the prepared CdS NPs as the photocatalyst was evaluated for the photocatalytic reduction of

 CO_2 to CH_4 and CO using the NPs prepared with the EG-based COSSM as an example. The reaction was conducted in an apparatus consisting of a 300 W Xenon lamp with the light power of 278 mW at an optical power density of 370 mW/cm² in the range of 14-21 A as the light source (Figure S1). The gas composition was quantitatively analyzed using a GC-7920 instrument by the external calibration method.

2.3 Application of COSSMs as fertilizer

The application of the as-prepared COSSMs as fertilizer was explored for the growth of four plants. The experimental groups and control groups were grown in the flowerpots with the sizes of 36 cm \times 24 cm under the same conditions. The experimental group and control group each contained 3 pots of different plants. The solutions of EG-COSSM, DEG-COSSM, TEG-COSSM, TEG-COSSM, PEG300-COSSM, PEG 400-COSSM, PPD-COSSM, DPG-COSSM, and NH₄HCO₃ were prepared by dissolving 2.4 g of the substance in 600 mL water. The experimental groups were irrigated with 50 mL of the solution of COSSs and the two control groups were irrigated with the same amounts of NH₄HCO₃ and water, respectively. The plants were photographed and plant heights were measured every 7 days for 60 days, and the total number of flowers was recorded.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Preparation of COSSMs

Table 1. Conductance values, melting point, Increment of different alcohols, amines, mixtures and COSSMs

Entry	Alcohol (Conductivity [µs·cm ⁻¹]/Quality[g])	Amine (Conductivity [μs·cm ⁻¹]/Quality[g])	Increment (g) ^a	Melting point [°C]	Mixture conductivity/Ventilation 2 h/COSSMs Solution [μs·cm ⁻¹]
1	EG (0.34/24.8281)	EDA (1.12/24.0694)	11.8875	100.5-105.9	338/1323/525
2	DEG (0.12/31.8367)	EDA (1.12/18.0433)	4.6640	86.4-91.1	100.8/805/632
3	TEG (0.21/30.0306)	EDA (1.12/12.0202)	2.8333	104.3-252.6	7.02/299/593
4	TeEG (0.21/38.8740)	EDA (1.12/12.0442)	6.1427	83.1-85.9	71/276/586
5	PEG300 (3.37/60.0095)	EDA (1.12/12.0106)	2.8119	91.0-219.4	45/118.8/746
6	PEG400 (6.01/40.0093)	EDA (1.12/6.0432)	3.7259	110.6-230.5	10.9/31.2/696
7	DPG (0/26.8353)	EDA (1.12/12.0244)	4.6467	99.8-227.9	2.82/178.9/681
8	PPD (0.21/22.8295)	EDA (1.12/18.0257)	6.5910	161.9-167.8	16.41/613/546
9	BDO (0.055/-)	EDA (1.12/-)	-	107.4-288.0	16.52/454/549
10	EG (0.34/-)	PDA (2.15/-)	-	253.4-261.1	16.7/1412/533
11	EG (0.34/-)	HDA (0.37/-)	-	177.8-289.5	4.07/394/865

-: unobtained data

a: Inexact value

All the binary systems of EGs and EDAs become thick, and eventually, white solids are formed after COS is introduced (Figure 1). The solution temperature increases first and then decreases to room temperature during the reaction. The conductivities of the solutions of EGs, EDAs, and their mixture systems can be found in our previous work.²⁴ The introduction of COS first increases and then decreases the conductivities of the EGs + EDAs binary systems (Table 1). The abrupt change in conductivity can be due to the chemical absorption of COS by EGs + EDAs binary system. The

changing trends of temperature, viscosity, and conductivity of the EGs + EDAs binary systems during COS absorption are similar to those during their absorptions of CO_2 and CS_2 .^{22,24} However, due to the concerns that the emission of COS into the atmosphere will influence the environment, the changes of temperature, weight, and conductivity with the prolongated absorption time were not further measured.

Slowly bubbling COS causes a series of changes in the properties of the EGs + EDAs binary systems, including viscosity, temperature, and conductivity, which is due to the reaction of EGs, EDAs, and COS and the formation of new compounds. The accumulation of ions in the solution enhances the electrostatic interaction between the anions and cations, which increases the viscosity and reduces the fluidity of the binary system.²⁵ The reaction is exothermic, and thus the temperature of the system increases during the reaction. The formation of new compounds gradually increases the viscosity of the system, which slows the diffusion of reactants and thus inhibits the reaction. White precipitates (COSSMs) are formed as the contents of the new compounds are greater than their solubility. Once the COSSMs are precipitated, the viscosity, temperature, and conductivity of the reaction system are all lowered.

As it can be seen from Table 1, as the reaction preceded the movements of anions and cations are accelerated in the solution. The accelerated collision between the ions enhances the ability of electrons to transfer charges in the solution.²⁶ The conductivity decreases with the increase of the number of carbon atoms in the linear alcohol or amine. The elongation of the carbon chain results in higher degrees of anion-cation association mainly because of the enhanced via van der Waals' forces between the alkyl carbon chains.²⁷ In addition, with the continuous elongation of the carbon chain, the mobility of ions is decreased, which reduces the pairing possibility of the anions and cations, resulting in the decrease of conductivity.²⁸

3.1.1 Characterizations of COSSMs 3.1.1.1 FTIR and XRD

FTIR spectra and XRD patterns of various COSSMs are shown in Figure 2.

The as-obtained white products exhibit similar FTIR spectra (Figure 2a). The sharp peak at 3330 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to the stretching vibration of N-H.²⁹ The characteristic peaks at around 1580 cm⁻¹ and 758 cm⁻¹ are attributed to the stretching vibration and the in-plane rocking vibration of $-NH_3^+$, respectively.³⁰ The peak at ~1432 cm⁻¹ is due to the shear vibration of $-CH_2^{31}$ and the peak at ~1052 cm⁻¹ is ascribed to the stretching vibration of C-O-C.³² The peak at ~1162 cm⁻¹ is caused by the stretching vibration of C-O.³³ The characteristic peak at ~1222 cm⁻¹ is the stretching vibration of C=S.³⁴ These results suggest that there are C=S, C-O, C-O-C, N-H, $-CH_2$, and $-NH_3^+$ in the as-obtained COSSMs.



Figure 2. FTIR spectra (a) and XRD patterns (b) of different COSSMs



Figure 3. High resolution XPS spectra of S(2p) of COSSMs

Sustainable Chemical Engineering

Figure 2b shows the XRD patterns of the COSSMs obtained by the reaction of EGs, EDA, and COS. The strong diffraction peaks at 16.78 °, 21.76 °, 25.34 °, 28.92 °, and 33.96 ° are like the characteristic diffraction peaks of sulfadiazine (JCPDS 49-2414),³⁵ indicating the high degree of crystallinity of the COSSMs and the presence of C=S.

3.1.1.2 XPS

The as-prepared COSSMs exhibit XPS peaks at 162.08 eV, 285.08 eV, 400.08 eV, and 531.08 eV that can be assigned to S(2p), C(1s), N(1s), and O(1s), respectively (Figure 3 and Figure S2). The O(1s) narrow scan XPS spectra can be fitted into two peaks at 530.95 eV and 532.98 eV, corresponding to the O in C-O-C and the alcoholic hydroxyl group, respectively³⁶ (Figure S2). The C(1s) spectra are deconvoluted into 5 peaks at 284.68 eV, 285.48 eV, 286.18 eV, 286.38 eV, and 288.48 eV, that are assigned to the C in C-C, C-N, C-H, C-O, and C = S, respectively³⁷ (Figure S2). The N(1s) narrow scan XPS spectra are composed of two peaks at 399.08 eV and 400.73 eV that are attributed to the N in -NH₃⁺ and C-N³⁸ (Figure S2). The peaks at 161.28 eV on the S(2p) spectra confirm the formation of C=S in the COSSMs³⁹ (Figure 3). These results suggest that the as-obtained COSSMs are mainly constructed with C=S, C-O, C-O-C, C-N, and -NH₃⁺.

Based on the FTIR, XRD, and XPS results, the structure of COSSMs is determined to be $[^{+}H_3NR_1NH_3^{+}OC(=S) OR_2(R_3)O(S=)CO^{-}]_n$.

3.1.2 Formation mechanism of COSSMs

Based on the FTIR, XRD, and XPS characterization results, the plausible formation mechanism of COSSMs in the EGs + EDAs binary systems is proposed, as shown in Figure 4. First, the H atom in the hydroxyl group of alcohol forms a hydrogen bond with the N atom in the -NH₂ of amine,⁴⁰ which deprotonates the alcohol and protonates the amine, especially for the highly alkaline amines.⁴¹ This also explains the increased conductivities as EGs and EDAs mixed. As EGs and EDAs mixed at the molar ratio of 1:1, -NH₂ cannot be completely protonated. However, COS tends to attract electrons, and thus attack the electron-rich region. Finally, the C=O bond of COS is then broken to form mono-thioalkyl-ammonium carbonate. According to the characterizations of COSSM, we present the formation mechanism is $[H_2N-R-NH_2 + HO-R'-OH + COS = [^+H_3N-R-NH_3^+.O-C(=S)O-R'-O-C(=O)-O']_n$. However, some processes need future researches.



Figure 4. Formation mechanism of COSSM under EGs + EDAs system

3.1.3 Property characterization of COSSMs

3.1.3.1 *Melting point*

The COSSMs prepared in different EGs + EDAs binary systems exhibit different melting points in a wide range from 83.1 °C to 289.5 °C (Table 1). Yet, the melting point increases with the increases of the polymerization degrees of EGs and EDAs. It can be explained that the melting point of an ionic liquid is decided by the size and symmetry of its anion and cation. In general, the smaller the size and the better the symmetry of the cation, the higher the melting point.⁴² For the same cation, the melting point mainly depends on the size of the anion. The anions with larger sizes usually form ionic liquids of higher melting points.

3.1.3.2 Solubility

As shown in Table 2, the preliminary tests reveal that the COSSMs are highly water soluble in water and insoluble in organic solvents, such as anhydrous ethanol, di-chloromethane, tri-chloromethane, and so on. Further investigation reveals that the polar COSSMs are soluble in water and insoluble in low polarity solvents, including diethyl ether and hexane. Moderate solubility is observed in moderately polar and high polar solvents ethyl acetate and dimethyl sulfoxide. In addition, the carbon chain length of alcohol also affects the solubility of the corresponding COSSMs to some extent. For example, (PEG 400 + EDA)-COSSM can be partially dissolved in dichloromethane and ethyl acetate.

COSSMs	Water	Hexane	Toluene	Diethyl ether	CH_2Cl_2	Ethyl acetate	Ethanol	DMSO
(EG + EDA)-COSSM	М	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	М
(DEG + EDA)-COSSM	М	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	М
(TEG + EDA)-COSSM	М	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	М
(TeEG + EDA)-COSSM	М	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	М
(PEG 300 + EDA)-COSSM	М	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	М
(PEG 400 + EDA)-COSSM	М	Ι	Ι	Ι	Р	Р	Ι	М
(DPG + EDA)-COSSM	М	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	М
(PPD + EDA)-COSSM	М	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	М
(BDO + EDA)-COSSM	М	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	М
(EG + PDA)-COSSM	М	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	М
(EG + HDA)-COSSM	М	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	М

Table 2. Solubility of various COSSMs

I = immiscible, M = miscible, P = partially miscible

3.1.3.3 Yields

To compare the COS absorption efficiencies of different EGs + EDAs binary systems, the COS increments of different binary systems under the same conditions are measured. As shown in Table 1, the COS increments are in the range of 2.8119-11.8875 g, and the highest COS absorption efficiency is obtained with the EG + EDA binary system. The molecular masses of EG and EDA are the closest, and thus their intermolecular interaction is the strongest, which is most conducive to the fixation of COS.

3.2 Utilization of COSSMs 3.2.1 Characterization of CdS NPs 3.2.1.1 FTIR

Figure 5a shows the FTIR spectra of CdS NPs of three conditions.



Figure 5. FTIR spectra (a) and XRD patterns (b) of CdS of three conditions

The CdS NPs prepared with the COSSMs show peaks at 3330 cm⁻¹ and 1628 cm⁻¹, that are attributed to the stretching vibration and bending vibration of O-H in the adsorbed water.⁴³ The characteristic peaks at 1384 cm⁻¹ and 1129 cm⁻¹ are assigned to the stretching vibration of Cd-S.⁴⁴

3.2.1.2 XPS

The full scan XPS spectra of CdS NPs prepared under different conditions and the high-resolution Cd(3d) and S(2p) XPS reveal four elements: C, O, Cd, and S (Figure S3). C and O are from the interference peaks of the instrument. The binding energy of Cd 3d5/2 peak is 404.63 eV and that of Cd 3d3/2 peak is 411.33 eV. The difference between the binding energies is 6.70 eV, the typical characteristics of Cd²⁺ in CdS NPs.⁴⁵ The S 2p3/2 and S 2p1/2 peaks are found at 160.88 eV and 161.98 eV with a difference of 1.10 eV, suggesting that S in the CdS NPs is in the form of S^{2-.46} These results suggest the CdS NPs have been successfully prepared with COSSMs.

3.2.1.3 XRD

Figure 5b shows the XRD patterns of the CdS NPs prepared under different conditions. The strong diffraction peaks of the CdS NPs prepared with 0.1 g EG-based COSSM at 26.46°, 30.66°, 43.86° and 51.98°, respectively, correspond to the (111), (200), (220), and (311) planes of the cubic CdS crystals (JCPDS, 89-0440).⁴⁷ The CdS NPs prepared at 60 °C exhibits diffraction peaks at 24.76°, 26.16°, 27.82°, 43.50°, 47.64°, and 51.64°, that can be assigned to the (100), (002), (101), (220), (112), and (311) planes of hexagonal CdS crystals (JCPDS, 77-2306).⁴⁸ The CdS NPs sample displaying all these diffraction peaks consists of both cubic (JCPDS 89-0440) and hexagonal (JCPDS 89-2944) phases. It also shows that the cubic phase and the hexagonal phase of CdS NPs have a huge difference at the 200 and 112 crystal planes.

The ratio of CdS crystal phase was calculated by Eq. (1):

$$Fw = \frac{R}{k_1 - (k_1k_2 - 1)R}$$
(1)

where Fw represents the content of the hexagonal phase in the CdS crystal phase, R represents the intensity ratio of the overlapping peaks of the hexagonal phase (100) crystal plane to the cubic phase (111) crystal plane, and the hexagonal phase (002) crystal plane, k_1 is 0.341, indicating the strength ratio of the hexagonal phase (100) crystal plane to the cubic (111) crystal plane, k_2 is 0.825, representing the intensity ratio of the hexagonal phase (002) crystal plane and the hexagonal phase (100) crystal plane. According to Eq. (1), the relative contents of the cubic phase and hexagonal phase are determined with Eq. 1 to be 67% and 33%, respectively.

3.2.1.4 SEM

Figure 6a shows SEM images of CdS NPs of three conditions.





Figure 6. SEM images (a) and photocatalytic CO₂ reduction (b) of three CdS catalysts

Volume 3 Issue 1|2022| 43

The morphologies of the CdS NPs prepared under different conditions were studied by SEM. As shown in Figure 6a, all the NPs are amorphous and their surfaces are composed of cubic, hexagonal, or the mixed of cubic and hexagonal particles. Their average particle sizes are measured to be 63.6 nm \times 64.8 nm, 98.6 nm \times 99.5 nm, and 85.4 nm \times 90.3 nm, respectively.

Based on these characterization results, the formation mechanism of CdS NPs from COSSMs is proposed as follows.⁴⁹

$$COSSM_{EG} \rightarrow COS + EDA + EG + S^{2-}$$

EG (and/or EDA) + $Cd^{2+} \rightarrow EG-Cd^{2+}$ (and/or EDA- Cd^{2+})

EG (and/or EDA) + $S^{2-} \rightarrow EG-S^{2-}$ (and/or EDA- S^{2-})

EG-Cd²⁺ (and/or EDA-Cd²⁺) + EG-S²⁻ (and/or EDA-S²⁻) \rightarrow CdS + EG (and/or EDA)

$$COS + H_2O = H_2S + CO_2$$
$$H_2S = HS^- + H^+$$
$$HS^- = H^+ + S^{2-}$$
$$S^{2-} + Cd^{2+} = CdS \downarrow$$

The COSSM provides S^{2-} for the formation of CdS NPs. The EDAs and EGs released from COSSMs function as the surfactant to control the nucleation, growth, crystal form, and orientation of CdS crystals. As shown above, the COSSM_{EG} releases COS and S^{2-} in solution. COS then undergoes hydrolysis reaction to form H_2S . H_2S is deprotonated into HS⁻ under certain conditions. HS⁻ can decompose to S^{2-} . S^{2-} from both sources reacts with Cd²⁺ to form the final product CdS NPs.

3.2.2 Application of CdS NPs 3.2.2.1 Photocatalytic CO₂ reduction

The application of the prepared CdS NPs as the photocatalyst for CO_2 reduction was first explored. The cubic, hexagonal and mixed phase CdS NPs (0.05 g) were respectively added 100 mL airtight quartz reactors containing 10 mL of Triethanolamine (TEOA) sacrificial agent, 20 mL of deionized water, and a magnetic stir bar. The reactor was evacuated to check the airtightness of the system. CO_2 gas (99.999% purity) was introduced into the reactor to reach the pressure of 0.079 MPa and allowed to react under the irradiation at the light intensity of 20 A and stirring. The gases in the reactor were sampled every 30 min and analyzed by gas chromatography.

As shown in Figure 6b, the reduction over pure cubic CdS NPs selectively produces CO, and that over pure hexagonal CdS catalyst covert CO_2 to CH_4 . The reaction is finished in 660 min. The yields of CO over the CdS NPs of cubic, mixed and hexagonal phases are 22.61 µmol/g, 37.74 µmol/g, and 48.62 µmol/g, and those of CH_4 are 11.89 µmol/g, 93.16 µmol/g, and 126.53 µmol/g. The yields over the catalyst of the mixed phase are higher than those reported in the literature.⁵⁰

3.2.3 Promoting plant growth

The vegetative growth of a plant can be evaluated with its height, average stem diameter, leaf size, and root development. The application of COSSMs significantly improves the vegetative growths of the plants as demonstrated with the improved plant heights (Table S3-S6, Figure S4 and 7), leaf sizes (Table S7 and S8 and Figure S5), average stem diameters (Table S9), and root development (Table S10-S12 and Figure 7). The irrigation with COSSMs also promotes the reproductive growths of the plants with more flowers and fruits (Table 3, Figure S6 and S7). These results suggest that COSSMs can function as a fertilizer to promote both vegetative growth and reproductive growth of the plant.











Figure 7. Average growth of plants at 5 d, 30 d and 60 d and the growth of plant roots

20

0

5

30

Time (d)

60

	Flowering period and number of fruits								
COSSM	Pepper		Eggplant		Tomato		Cucumber		
	30 d	60 d	26 d	60 d	5 d	60 d	18 d	60 d	
Water	N	8	Ν	1	N	18	Y(1)	1	
NH ₄ HCO ₃	Y(1)	12	Ν	1	Y(1)	19	Y(1)	1	
EG-COSSM	Y(2)	16	Y(2)	3	Y(4)	27	Y(2)	2	
DEG-COSSM	Y(3)	12	Y(3)	2	Y(5)	32	Ν	2	
TeEG-COSSM	Ν	20	Y(3)	1	Y(4)	28	Y(1)	3	
PEG 300-COSSM	Y(1)	15	Y(3)	1	Y(2)	27	Y(2)	2	
PEG 400-COSSM	Ν	13	Y(2)	2	Y(2)	30	Y(3)	3	
PPD-COSSM	Ν	21	Y(4)	1	Y(4)	31	Ν	2	
TEG-COSSM	Ν	16	Y(3)	1	Y(3)	29	Y(4)	2	
DPG-COSSM	Y(4)	9	Y(1)	2	Y(4)	29	Y(1)	2	

Table 3. Statistics of flowering and fruit number of pepper, eggplant, tomato and cucumber

*Y and N in the table stand for blooming or not when measured

To understand the plant growth promoting mechanism of COSSMs, the soils in the flowerpots of both experimental and control groups were sampled after the experiment and measured for total nitrogen content, total sulfur content, and pH value (Table S13). It is found that the irrigation of COSSMs solution has no significant effects on the pH of soil. Studies have shown that N and S elements can improve the growth and development of plant roots and leaves, as well as yield.⁵¹ COSSMs may promote the absorption of N and S of plants, and thus further promote the growth and development of the plants. No significant difference found between pHs of the soils indicates that COSSMs promote plant growth and development by providing a combination of many elements.⁵²

4. Conclusion

A novel COS capture, storage, and utilization approach has been developed under ambient conditions. COS is absorbed with Ethylene Glycol-based polyols (EGs) + Ethylenediamine-based polyamines (EDAs) binary systems to form COSSMs with the structure of [$^{+}H_3NR_1NH_3^{+}OC(=S)OR_2(R_3)O(S=)CO^{-}]_n$, which was confirmed by various spectral technologies. The sustainable utilization of COS is demonstrated with the successful applications of COSSMs to the synthesis of CdS NPs for the photocatalytic conversion of CO₂ to CO and CH₄ with the excellent photocatalytic performance, and to promote plant growth, including eggplant, pepper, tomato, and cucumber, as a nitro-sulfur compound fertilizer with its height, average stem diameter, leaf size, and root development.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Inner Mongolia Science and Technology Key Projects, the Program for Grassland Excellent Talents of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, and Beijing Boyuan Hengsheng High-Tech. Co., Ltd., Beijing, China (HA2157).

Conflicts of interests

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

References

- [1] Brühl, C.; Lelieveld, J.; Crutzen, P. J.; Tost, H. Chem. Phys. 2012, 12, 1239-1253.
- [2] Khalil, M. A. K.; Rasmussen, R. A. Atmos. Environ. 1984, 18, 1805-1813.
- [3] Du, Q. Q.; Mu, Y. J.; Zhang, C. L.; Liu, J. F.; Zhang, Y. Y.; Liu, C. T. J. Environ. Sci. 2017, 51, 146-156.
- [4] Zhang, Y. Q.; Xiao, Z. B.; Ma, J. X. Appl. Catal. B. 2004, 48, 57-63.
- [5] Yan, Y. L.; Li, R. M.; Peng, L.; Yang, C.; Liu, C. L. Cao, J. Y.; Yang, F.; Li, Y. H.; Wu, J. Environ. Pollut. 2019, 247, 745-751.
- [6] Sakanishi, K.; Wu, Z.; Matsumura, A.; Saito, I.; Hanaoka, T.; Minowa, T.; Tada, M.; Iwasaki, T. Catal. Today. 2005, 104, 94-100.
- [7] Wang, H. Y.; Yi, H. H.; Ning, P. X.; Tang, L.; Yu, L. L.; He, D.; Zhao, S. Z. Chem. Eng. J. 2011, 166, 99-104.
- [8] Ogawa, T.; Noguchi, K.; Saito, M.; Nagahata, Y.; Kato, H.; Ohtaki, A.; Nakayama, H.; Dohmae, N.; Matsushita, Y.; Odaka, M.; Yohda, M.; Nyunoya, H. Katayama, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 3818-3825.
- [9] Ferm, R. J. Chem. Rev. 1957, 57, 621-640.
- [10] Svoronos, P. D. N.; Bruno, T. J. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2002, 41, 5321-5336.
- [11] He, E. Y.; Huang, G.; Fan, H. L.; Yang, C.; Wang, H.; Tian, Z.; Wang, L. J.; Zhao, Y. R. Fuel. 2019, 246, 277-284.
- [12] Li, C. P.; Zhang, J. B.; Zhang, T. X.; Wei, X. H.; Zhang, E. Q.; Yang, N.; Zhao, N. N.; Su, M. Zhou, H. J. Chem. Eng. Data. 2010, 55, 4104-4107.
- [13] Chen, X.; Shen, S.; Guo, L.; Mao, S. S. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 6503-6570.
- [14] White, J. L.; Baruch, M. F.; Pander, J. E.; Hu, Y.; Fortmeyer, I. C.; Park, J. E.; Zhang, T.; Liao, K.; Gu, J.; Yan, Y.; Shaw, T. W.; Abelev, E.; Bocarsly, A. B. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 12888-12935.
- [15] Li, Z. P.; Cheng, H. B.; Li, Y. F.; Yu, Y. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 2019, 7, 4325-4334.
- [16] Song, G. X.; Xin, F.; Chen, J. S.; Yin, X. H. Appl. Catal. A-Gen. 2014, 473, 90-95.
- [17] Valle, S. F.; Giroto, A. S.; Klaic, R.; Guimaraes, G. G. F.; Ribeiro, C. Polym. Degrad. Stab. 2019, 162, 102-105.
- [18] Chien, S. H.; Gearhart, M. M.; Villagarcía, S. Soil Sci. 2011, 176, 327-335.
- [19] Sun, L. J.; Xue, Y.; Peng, C.; Xu, C.; Shi, J. Y. Environ. Pollut. 2018, 243, 1119-1125.
- [20] Luo, L.; Xu, C.; Ma, Y. B.; Zheng, L.; Liu, L. J.; Lv, J. T.; Zhang, S. Z. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 2014, 78, 1615.
- [21] Yang, J. J.; Zhu, S. H.; Zheng, C. Q.; Sun, L. J.; Liu, J.; Shi, J. Y. J. Hazard Mater. 2015, 286, 432-439.
- [22] Zhao, T. X.; Guo, B.; Han, L. M.; Zhu, N.; Gao, F.; Li, Q.; L. Li, H.; Zhang, J. B. ChemPhysChem. 2015, 16, 2106-2109.
- [23] Meng, X. L.; Li, X. F.; Shi, H. H.; Wu, J. M.; Wu, Z. J. J. Mol. Liq. 2016, 219, 677-689.
- [24] Yue, X. Q.; Li, Q.; Li, B.; Zhao, L.; Wu, Y.; Yang, T. Y.; Liu, K.; Zhang, J. B.; Zhu, N. J. Clean. Prod. 2019, 237, 117710.
- [25] Tao, Y.; Taisuke, Y.; Richard, G. W. Chem. Mater. 2010, 22, 5492-5499.
- [26] Chang, B.; Wu, Y. Chin. J. Syn. Chem. 2018, 26, 425-428.
- [27] Yoshida, Baba, Y. O.; Saito, G. J. Phys. Chem. B., 2007, 111, 4742-4749.
- [28] Fraser, K. J.; Izgorodina, E. I.; Forsyth, M. J.; Scott, L.; MacFarlane, D. R. Chem. Commun. 2007, 37, 3817-3819.
- [29] Danon, A.; Stair, P. C.; Weitz, E. J. Phys. Chem. C. 2011, 115, 11540-11549.
- [30] Xie, J. X.; Chang, J. B.; Wang, X. M. Applications of Infrared Spectroscopy in Organic Chemistry and Medicinal Chemistry (in Chinese); Science Press: Beijing. 2001.
- [31] Chang, Q.; Hao, X. K.; Duan, L. L. J. Hazard. Mater. 2008, 159, 548-553.
- [32] Xie, R. S.; Li, Y. L.; Huang, H. Y.; Pan, X. Q.; Guo, B. G.; Liu, H. F.; Hu, H. L. Zhang, X. Q.; Ma, Y. J. J. Alloy. Compd. 2019, 782, 28-37.
- [33] Mir, F. A.; Chattarjee, I.; Dar, A. A.; Asokan, K.; Bhat, G. M. Optik. 2015, 126, 1240-1244.
- [34] Gosavi, R. K.; Agarwala, U.; Rao, C. N. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 235-239.
- [35] Yang, Y. J.; Xu, L. J.; Li, W. Y.; Fan, W. J.; Song, S.; Yang, J. Appl. Catal. B-Environ. 2019, 259, 118057.
- [36] Yu, D. D.; Bai, J.; Liang, H. O.; Li, C. P. J. Alloys Compd. 2016, 683, 329-338.
- [37] Hao, R. L.; Zhang, Y. Y.; Wang, Z. Y.; Li, Y. P.; Yuan, B.; Mao, X. Z.; Zhao, Y. Chem. Eng. J. 2017, 307, 562-571.
- [38] Sha, F.; Zhu, N.; Bai, Y. J.; Li, Q.; Guo, B.; Zhao, T. X.; Zhang, F.; Zhang, J. B. ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 2016,

4, 3032-3044.

- [39] Ge, Y. Y.; Xiao, D.; Li, Z. L.; Cui, X. M. J. Mater. Chem. A. 2014, 2, 2136-2145.
- [40] Zhang, R. M.; Yue, X. Q.; Li, B.; Yang, J. R.; Wu, Z. J.; Zhang, J. B. J. Mol. Liq. 2020, 299, 112213.
- [41] Yingquan, H.; Shimoyama, Y. Fluid. Phase. Equilib. 2019, 494, 115-124.
- [42] Goossens, K.; Nockemann, P.; Driesen, K.; Goderis, B.; Walrand, C. G.; Hecke, K. V.; Meervelt, L. V.; Pouzet, E. Chem. Mater. 2008, 20, 157-168.
- [43] Kumar, A.; Reddy, K. L.; Kumar, S.; Kumar, A.; Sharma, V.; Krishnan, V. ACS Appl. Mater. Interf. 2018, 10, 15565-15581.
- [44] Wang, Y. Y.; Zhang, X.; Liu, Y. J.; Zhao, Y. B.; Xie, C.; Song, Y. X.; Yang, P. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy. 2019, 44, 30151-30159.
- [45] Hao, D. D.; Shen, Q. H.; Liu, J.; Wei, L. F.; Bibi, R.; Fu, B.; Lia, N. X.; Zhou, J. C. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 2019, 141, 1111-1117.
- [46] Liu, Y.; Deng, L.; Sheng, J. P.; Tang, F. Y.; Zeng, K.; Wang, L. Q.; Liang, K. X.; Hu, H.; Liu, Y. N. Appl. Surf. Sci. 2019, 498, 143899.
- [47] Wu, X. Q.; Zhao, J.; Wang, L. P.; Han, M. M.; Zhang, M. L.; Wang, H. B.; Huang, H.; Liu, Y. Kang, Z. H. Appl. Catal. B-Environ. 2017, 206, 501-509.
- [48] Pan, B.; Qin, J. N.; Wang, X. X.; Su, W. Y. Appl. Surf. Sci. 2020, 504, 144379.
- [49] Zhao, T. X.; Guo, B.; Zhang, F.; Sha, F.; Li, Q.; Zhang, J. B. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces. 2015, 7, 15918-15927.
- [50] Xie, S. L.; Liu, J.; Dong, L. Z.; Li, S. L.; Lan, Y. Q.; Su, Z. M. Chem. Sci. 2019, 10, 185-190.
- [51] Zhang, D.; Li, W.; Xin, C.; Tang, W.; Eneji, A. E.; Dong, H. Field Crop. Res. 2012, 138, 63-70.
- [52] André, A. D. C. L.; Chaer, G. M.; Fábio, B. D. R. J.; Goedert, W. J.; Iêda, D. C. M. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 2013, 77, 461-472.